



PRELIMINARY REPORT · 2026

SEATS 60

POLLING BOOTHS 3,014

DISTRICTS 16

NAMES REMOVED 158,677


SIR

Manipur

*Sixty constituencies, twenty of them in the hills:
one state's roll read valley and hill together.*

AUTHORS

Sabir Ahamed · Souptik Halder · Ashin Chakraborty



Beyond the Electoral Roll

The importance of the SIR does not end with who is listed on the electoral roll and who is able to vote. A voter identity card, and more generally a person's position within the electoral system, has acquired importance in everyday dealings with the state beyond the polling place.

The situation in West Bengal has made this particularly apparent. Deleted or affected electors have faced problems with procedures not directly connected with voting, including renewing passports, obtaining domicile or residence certificates, accessing the Public Distribution System and other welfare schemes. Questions about electoral roll status have also interfered with students' ability to secure domicile, and consequently their eligibility for educational opportunities, including hard-earned MBBS seats.

Removal from the electoral roll does not in itself cancel a person's citizenship or legal right to public services. However, administrative systems do not always function separately. Electoral documents may be used as proof of identity, residence or domicile; deletion may therefore place additional burdens on those concerned.

These burdens may not fall equally. Requirements relatively easy for permanently settled, properly documented and economically secure households can be much harder for migrant workers, students, elderly persons, persons with disabilities and households with limited access to documents and public institutions.

Manipur adds a further dimension. A state where tens of thousands of people have been displaced from their homes since May 2023 enters a documentation-heavy revision of the roll on a very different footing from a settled one. A person living in a relief camp, or sheltering in another district, may be recorded as having permanently shifted or as untraceable without ever having chosen to move. This report does not attempt to separate displacement from ordinary migration in the records, because the source data does not carry that distinction. It does show where the removals are concentrated.

It is precisely this possibility that leads Sabar Institute to analyse SIR data. Our aim goes beyond counting deletions; it is to detect patterns of disproportionate impact by constituency, polling station, gender, recorded reason, geography and other relevant characteristics.

Disparity alone does not prove discrimination or administrative misconduct. Instead, disproportionate outcomes signal where further investigation, safeguards or corrective measures may be necessary.

We are committed to downloading, open-sourcing and analysing SIR deletions across India. But the scale of this task is far greater than the capacity of our small, independent and wholly crowdfunded team.

We have the commitment. We have demonstrated that the work can be done. What we need are the resources to take it to the scale it deserves.



Help us take this work
across India.

DONATE

donate.sabarinstitute.org

Contents

PART I – STATE-WIDE ANALYSIS

Executive Summary	p4
Words Used in This Report	p6
How Many Names Were Removed	p7
The Sixty Constituencies	p8
The Whole State at a Glance	p9
Hills & the Valley	p10
Why Names Were Removed	p11
Who Was Removed	p12
District Profiles	p13
Which Seats Were Worst Hit	p14
Women & the Deletions	p15
Women, Marriage & Movement	p16
Seats Led by Each Reason (1/2)	p17
Seats Led by Each Reason (2/2)	p18
A Few Booths Carry Most of the Loss	p19
The Hardest-Hit Booths	p20
Name Structure	p21
Key Insights	p22

PART II – ASSEMBLY CHAPTERS

1 Khundrakpam	1,486	p24	31 Thoubal	1,012	p54
2 Heingang	1,998	p25	32 Wangkhem	1,127	p55
3 Khurai	2,146	p26	33 Heirok	948	p56
4 Khetrigao	1,433	p27	34 Wangjing Tentha	1,525	p57
5 Thongju	856	p28	35 Khangabok	1,181	p58
6 Keirao	1,485	p29	36 Wabgai	1,458	p59
7 Andro	1,120	p30	37 Kakching	976	p60
8 Lamalai	1,346	p31	38 Hiyanglam	692	p61
9 Thangmeiband	1,717	p32	39 Sugnoo	848	p62
10 Uripok	1,709	p33	40 Jiribam	2,277	p63
11 Sagolband	1,525	p34	41 Chandel	6,672	p64
12 Keisamthong	952	p35	42 Tengnoupal	5,228	p65
13 Singjamei	671	p36	43 Phungyar	4,502	p66
14 Yaiskul	1,083	p37	44 Ukhrul	6,382	p67
15 Wangkhei	3,756	p38	45 Chingai	3,668	p68
16 Sekmai	1,390	p39	46 Saikul	2,478	p69
17 Lamsang	1,439	p40	47 Karong	2,840	p70
18 Konthoujam	1,075	p41	48 Mao	1,703	p71
19 Patsoi	1,708	p42	49 Tadubi	3,146	p72
20 Langthabal	948	p43	50 Kangpokpi	3,467	p73
21 Naoria Pakhanglakpa	1,126	p44	51 Saitu	4,480	p74
22 Wangoi	1,197	p45	52 Tamei	3,973	p75
23 Mayang Imphal	1,730	p46	53 Tamenglong	7,000	p76
24 Nambol	1,133	p47	54 Nungba	4,759	p77
25 Oinam	1,013	p48	55 Tipaimukh	2,036	p78
26 Bishenpur	1,027	p49	56 Thanlon	4,696	p79
27 Moirang	942	p50	57 Henglep	8,130	p80
28 Thanga	1,087	p51	58 Churachandpur	9,381	p81
29 Kumbi	1,077	p52	59 Saikot	14,152	p82
30 Lilong	1,164	p53	60 Singhat	6,601	p83
Conclusion & Way Forward					p84

Source: Election Commission of India – the ASD lists put out by the Chief Electoral Officer, Manipur for all 3,014 polling booths of the sixty seats.

District, parliamentary constituency and reservation status are the official ones. Manipur's voter list totals and 2022 result sheets are not available here, so this report gives no removal rate, winning margin or turnout comparison.

Executive Summary

*In 2026 the Election Commission checked Manipur's voter list door to door.
This report counts the names that were taken off it, and shows where.*



FIRST, THE BASICS

A booth is one polling station. Manipur has 3,014 of them. We counted how many names were removed at each booth, because a state total tells you nothing about which places were affected. The Election Commission gives a reason against every name. There are only four: the person shifted away, the person died, the person could not be found, or the person is already on another list.

WHAT WE FOUND

- 1

The hills were hit much harder than the valley

Manipur has 60 seats. 20 of them are in the hill districts. Those 20 seats alone account for 66 out of every 100 names removed in the state. In the hills, 79 voters were removed from each booth. In the valley, only 31. That is about two and a half times more.
- 2

One district carries a quarter of the whole state

Churachandpur district has just four seats. Those four seats hold 38,264 removed names. That is 24 out of every 100 names removed in all of Manipur.
- 3

In the valley people mostly died. In the hills they mostly moved

Out of every 100 names removed in the valley, 43 were removed because the voter had died. In the hills the same figure is only 19. Instead, hill names were removed because the voter had shifted away or could not be found.
- 4

More women were removed than men

Of every 100 names removed, 56 were women. Women were the larger group in all 60 seats, without exception. This is unusual – in most such exercises men are the larger group.
- 5

A small number of booths carry a large share of the loss

The worst affected 300 booths – one booth in ten – account for 36 out of every 100 names removed. The middle booth lost 32 voters. The worst single booth lost 426.

Executive Summary (cont.)

THE ONE PICTURE THAT SHOWS IT



HOW TO READ THIS

Each bar is one seat. Seats are in their official number order, 1 to 60, not sorted. The height of a bar shows how many voters were removed from each booth in that seat. Seats 1 to 40 are the valley and Jiribam. Seats 41 to 60 are the hills. You can see the bars jump up at seat 41 and stay up. That jump is the main finding of this report.

WHO WAS REMOVED

56 in 100

were women

46,786 of them are listed by a husband's name, not a father's. A woman who moves to her husband's village after marriage must shift between two lists. That is when a name gets lost.

46 in 100

had shifted away

The commonest reason. It means the visiting officer was told the voter no longer lives there. Since May 2023 many people in Manipur have been forced to leave their homes.

27 in 100

had died

The only reason that can be checked against a separate government record. The other three rest on what the officer was told at the door.

WHAT THIS REPORT DOES NOT SAY

This report does not say that anyone was removed on purpose, or that any community was targeted. It cannot say that. Two things are missing. First, we do not have the voter list itself, so we cannot say what share of a booth's voters were removed – only how many. Second, Manipur has seen large scale displacement since May 2023, and a person living in a relief camp looks the same in this data as a person who chose to move. What the report does show is where the removals are concentrated, and so where checking should start.

WHAT SHOULD HAPPEN NOW

- ▲ Check the worst affected booths first. A state figure cannot be verified. A booth can be walked.
- ▲ Give people a simple way to object, and free legal help to those who cannot manage the paperwork.
- ▲ Publish the voter list total for each seat, so that removal rates can be worked out.
- ▲ Pay special attention to displaced families, and to women who have moved after marriage.

Words Used in This Report

This report uses a few words from election paperwork. They are explained here once, in plain words, so that the rest of the report can be read without going back and forth. Nothing else in the report assumes any knowledge of election records or of statistics.

Voter list / electoral roll

The official list of people who may vote. Each seat has its own list, divided booth by booth.

SIR

Special Intensive Revision. A door to door check of the voter list. Officers visit every address and confirm whether the voter still lives there.

ASD list

Absent, Shifted, Dead. The list an officer fills in for voters who could not be confirmed at the address. Every name in this report comes from these lists.

Booth / polling part

One polling station and the voters attached to it. Manipur has 3,014. This is the smallest unit in this report.

Assembly constituency (AC), or seat

The area that elects one MLA. Manipur has 60. Each one contains many booths.

Reserved seat (ST / SC)

A seat that only a candidate from a Scheduled Tribe, or a Scheduled Caste, may contest. Manipur has 19 ST seats and 1 SC seat.

Hill districts / valley

Manipur's land is a flat valley around Imphal, ringed by hills. Five districts are on the valley floor; ten are hill districts. Jiribam, in the west, is neither, so we show it separately.

The four reasons

Permanently Shifted – moved away. Death – the voter has died. Untraceable/Absent – could not be found. Already enrolled – the name is on another list too.

Removed per booth

The number of names taken off, divided by the number of booths. We use this instead of a percentage because the voter list totals are not published for Manipur.

Lower bound

The real figure is at least this much, and possibly more. We use it for seven seats where some booth records could not be downloaded.

ABOUT THE NUMBERS


Numbers are written the Indian way where it helps – one lakh is 1,00,000, or one hundred thousand. Where we say "x in 100", we mean x per cent. Where we say a figure is an average, we mean the total divided by the number of booths or seats, not a middle value.

How Many Names Were Removed

These are the ASD lists – absent, shifted, dead – put out by the Chief Electoral Officer, Manipur for all sixty seats, as on 27 September 2026. Altogether 158,677 names were taken off, across 3,014 booths. We downloaded the record of every booth. In seven seats, 27 booth records would not open on the Commission's own website, so those seven counts are a little short of the true figure. They are marked with a dagger in the table on the next page. Our count has been checked twice over: the sixty separate seat files and the combined state file agree exactly, name for name, and each seat's total equals the sum of its own booth records. One caution throughout: the voter list totals are not available for Manipur, so we cannot say what share of voters was removed – only how many names, and from how many booths.



NAMES REMOVED IN EACH SEAT, SEAT 1 TO SEAT 60



NAMES REMOVED FROM EACH BOOTH, SAME ORDER




Figure 1, top. Total names removed in each seat. Figure 2, above. The same, but divided by the number of booths in that seat — so a big seat and a small seat can be compared fairly.

THE REASON GIVEN, SEAT BY SEAT




Figure 3. Each bar is one seat and is always full height, so it shows the mix of reasons, not the size. Grey is death. It fills much of the valley seats on the left and shrinks sharply from seat 41 onward.

The Sixty Constituencies

All sixty seats in number order, with the district each one falls in, how many names were removed, how many booths the seat has, and the two divided – that is, names removed from each booth. Read down the last column to compare seats of different sizes fairly. A dagger marks the seven seats where a few booth records could not be downloaded, so their figures are slightly short.


Seat	Constituency	District	R	Removed	Booths	Per booth	Seat	Constituency	District	R	Removed	Booths	Per booth
1	Khundrakpam	Imphal East	·	1,486	42	35	31	Thoubal	Thoubal	·	1,012	41	25
2	Heingang	Imphal East	·	1,998	49	41	32	Wangkhem	Thoubal	·	1,127	41	27
3	Khurai	Imphal East	·	2,146	46	47	33	Heirok	Thoubal	·	948	45	21
4	Khetrigao	Imphal East	·	1,433	48	30	34	Wangjing Tentha	Thoubal	·	1,525	40	38
5	Thongju	Imphal East	·	856	45	19	35	Khangabok	Thoubal	·	1,181	47	25
6	Keirao	Imphal East	·	1,485	40	37	36	Wabgai	Kakching	·	1,458	42	35
7	Andro	Imphal East	·	1,120	46	24	37	Kakching	Kakching	·	976	40	24
8	Lamlai	Imphal East	·	1,346	40	34	38	Hiyanglam	Kakching	·	692	36	19
9	Thangmeiband	Imphal West	·	1,717	42	41	39	Sugnoo	Kakching	·	848	35	24
10	Uripok	Imphal West	·	1,709	35	49	40	Jiribam	Jiribam	·	2,277	42	54
11	Sagolband	Imphal West	·	1,525	36	42	41	Chandel	Chandel	S	6,672	80	83
12	Keisamthong	Imphal West	·	952	40	24	42	Tengnoupal	Tengnoupal	S	5,228	75	70
13	Singjamei	Imphal West	·	671	29	23	43†	Phungyar	Kamjong	S	4,502	77	58
14	Yaiskul	Imphal East	·	1,083	38	28	44†	Ukhrul	Ukhrul	S	6,382	73	87
15	Wangkhei	Imphal East	·	3,756	50	75	45†	Chingai	Ukhrul	S	3,668	68	54
16	Sekmai	Imphal West	S	1,390	46	30	46	Saikul	Kangpokpi	S	2,478	56	44
17	Lamsang	Imphal West	·	1,439	50	29	47†	Karong	Senapati	S	2,840	76	37
18	Konhoujam	Imphal West	·	1,075	41	26	48	Mao	Senapati	S	1,703	71	24
19	Patsoi	Imphal West	·	1,708	50	34	49†	Tadubi	Senapati	S	3,146	78	40
20	Langthabal	Imphal West	·	948	40	24	50†	Kangpokpi	Kangpokpi	·	3,467	41	85
21	Naoria Pakhanglakp	Imphal West	·	1,126	47	24	51	Saitu	Kangpokpi	S	4,480	73	61
22	Wangoi	Imphal West	·	1,197	40	30	52†	Tamei	Tamenglong	S	3,973	78	51
23	Mayang Imphal	Imphal West	·	1,730	46	38	53	Tamenglong	Tamenglong	S	7,000	67	104
24	Nambol	Bishnupur	·	1,133	45	25	54	Nungba	Noney	S	4,759	52	92
25	Oinam	Bishnupur	·	1,013	39	26	55	Tipaimukh	Pherzawl	S	2,036	37	55
26	Bishenpur	Bishnupur	·	1,027	42	24	56	Thanlon	Pherzawl	S	4,696	41	115
27	Moirang	Bishnupur	·	942	48	20	57	Henglep	Churachandpur	S	8,130	60	136
28	Thanga	Bishnupur	·	1,087	29	37	58	Churachandpur	Churachandpur	S	9,381	89	105
29	Kumbi	Bishnupur	·	1,077	36	30	59	Saikot	Churachandpur	S	14,152	84	168
30	Lilong	Thoubal	·	1,164	48	24	60	Singhat	Churachandpur	S	6,601	56	118

State total 158,677 names removed · 3,014 booths · 52.6 per booth

R: S = ST-reserved, C = SC-reserved, · = general. † booth records that would not open: AC43 (1), AC44 (1), AC45 (4), AC47 (1), AC49 (4), AC50 (1), AC52 (15).

The Whole State at a Glance

All 158,677 names taken together. Two things stand out. Of every 100 names removed, 56 were women – in most such exercises men are the larger group. And 27 in every 100 were removed because the voter had died, which is a much bigger share than a city district would show. Half the people removed were older than 46 and half were younger.



THE REASON GIVEN, BY AGE GROUP





Figure 4, left. How many people of each age were removed. Figure 5, right. The same people as a mix: older people were removed mostly because they had died, younger people mostly because they had moved.

OUT OF EVERY 100 REMOVED UNDER EACH REASON, HOW MANY WERE WOMEN



Hills & the Valley

How the state splits in two, what that split does to the number of names removed, and the one thing this data cannot tell us.

HOW WE HAVE GROUPED THE SEATS

Manipur is a flat valley around Imphal with hills all around it. The sixty seats split along that line, and the split is the government's own, not one we have drawn. Thirty-nine seats sit on the valley floor, in five districts: Imphal East, Imphal West, Bishnupur, Thoubal and Kakching. Twenty seats sit in the ten hill districts that ring the valley: Chandel, Churachandpur, Kamjong, Kangpokpi, Noney, Pherzawl, Senapati, Tamenglong, Tengnoupal and Ukhrul. Nineteen of those twenty are seats reserved for Scheduled Tribes. The twentieth, Kangpokpi, is a general seat that happens to lie in a hill district. That leaves Jiribam, in the far west on the Assam border. It is neither valley floor nor hill, so we show it on its own instead of pushing it into one group or the other.


One caution applies to everything on these three pages. We do not have the voter list for each seat. So we cannot say whether a hill voter was more likely to be removed than a valley voter. We can only say how many names were removed, and from how many booths. Booths are not all the same size, and hill booths are on the whole smaller than valley ones. If anything, that means the gap shown below is smaller than the real one. What we can compare without the voter list – and what we do compare – is the character of the removals: the reason written down, whether the voter was a man or a woman, how old they were, and how these change from seat to seat.

HOW BIG THE DIFFERENCE IS

The twenty hill seats are one third of the seats in Manipur. They hold 66 out of every 100 names removed in the state. Counted booth by booth, 79 voters were removed from each hill booth against only 31 from each valley booth – about 2.5 times as many. Churachandpur district on its own, just four seats, accounts for 24 out of every 100 names removed in all of Manipur.

Group	Seats	Removed	Share	Booths	Per booth	Women	Middle age
Imphal Valley	39	51,106	32.2%	1,640	31.2	58.4%	48
Hill districts	20	105,294	66.4%	1,332	79.0	54.8%	45
Jiribam	1	2,277	1.4%	42	54.2	54.6%	44
STATE	60	158,677	100.0%	3,014	52.6	56.0%	46

NAMES REMOVED FROM EACH BOOTH – ALL SIXTY SEATS, WORST FIRST



Why Names Were Removed

THE REASON WRITTEN DOWN, HILLS AGAINST VALLEY

The four reasons are given very differently in the two halves of the state. Out of every 100 names removed in the valley, 43 were removed because the voter had died. In the hills that figure is only 19. Shifting away goes the other way: 50 in the hills against 36 in the valley. So does 'could not be found': 26 against 17. Only the fourth reason, already on another list, is much the same in both. Add the first two together and the picture is plain. In the hills, 76 out of every 100 removals rest on the voter having moved or not being found, against 52 in the valley. This matters because death is the only one of the four that can be checked against a separate government record. The other three rest on what the visiting officer was told at the door.




Figure 7. For each group the four bars add up to 100, so this shows the mix of reasons in that group, not how many people.

THE SAME PATTERN, SEAT BY SEAT

You do not need the hills-and-valley grouping to see this. Take the sixty seats one by one. The more voters a seat lost from each booth, the larger the share of its removals that were put down to shifting away, and the smaller the share put down to death. The two move together closely. This does not tell us why it happened. It does tell us that the seats which lost the most people from each booth are the same seats where the removals rest on someone having moved, rather than on a death certificate.




Figure 8. Each dot is one seat. Crimson dots are hill seats, teal the valley. Move right and you lose more voters per booth; move up and more of those losses are put down to shifting away. The dots climb from bottom left to top right, which is what 'the two go together' looks like. The dashed line is the trend.

Who Was Removed

MEN, WOMEN AND AGE

More women than men were removed in both halves of the state, and in all 60 seats without exception. Of every 100 names removed in the valley, 58 were women; in the hills, 55. So the hills and the valley are much the same on this point. What does separate them is age. Half the people removed in the valley were older than 48; in the hills, older than 45. And 34 out of every 100 removed in the valley were aged 60 or above, against only 26 in the hills. That is the same fact as the death share on the previous page, seen from the other side: the valley lost older people who had died, the hills lost younger people who had moved.





Figure 9, left. Men and women within each group. The dotted line is half. Figure 10, right. The ages of those removed, within each group.

WHAT THIS SHOWS, AND WHAT IT DOES NOT

Three things hold up. Far more voters were removed from each hill booth than from each valley booth, and the gap is too big for any difference in booth size to explain away. The reasons given differ along with it: hill removals rest mostly on the voter having moved or not being found, valley removals much more often on a death. And more women than men were removed everywhere, which is unusual and is taken up on its own two pages later.

None of this, on its own or together, shows that any community was singled out. These are patterns in who was removed, worked out without the voter list to measure against, in a state where large numbers of people have been driven from their homes since May 2023 and where the hill districts were worst affected. Being displaced and being removed from the list by an officer would look almost the same in this data, and there is nothing in the records that tells the two apart. What the pattern does show is where a closer check would be best spent: on the 'shifted away' and 'could not be found' cases, in the hill seats, booth by booth – which is where this report goes next.

District Profiles

All sixteen districts, worst first. Worst here means the most names removed from each booth. Almost every valley district sits at the bottom of this table and almost every hill district at the top. Churachandpur is worst, losing 132 voters from each booth, against 26 in Kakching. Churachandpur's four seats alone account for 38,264 names – more than the thirteen seats of Imphal West and the ten of Imphal East put together.

District	Grp	Seats	Removed	Booths	Per booth	Women	Shifted	Death	Not found
Churachandpur	H	4	38,264	289	132.4	52.7%	44.9%	19.7%	30.7%
Noney	H	1	4,759	52	91.5	62.9%	70.6%	18.6%	5.1%
Pherzawl	H	2	6,732	78	86.3	51.6%	63.1%	14.3%	19.8%
Chandel	H	1	6,672	80	83.4	54.2%	55.3%	18.5%	21.2%
Tamenglong	H	2	10,973	145	75.7	59.0%	67.4%	12.9%	16.6%
Ukhrul	H	2	10,050	141	71.3	58.4%	58.9%	14.3%	19.9%
Tengnoupal	H	1	5,228	75	69.7	52.3%	30.2%	20.1%	44.6%
Kangpokpi	H	3	10,425	170	61.3	53.4%	43.4%	24.6%	27.6%
Kamjong	H	1	4,502	77	58.5	58.2%	52.7%	26.0%	15.7%
Jiribam	J	1	2,277	42	54.2	54.6%	48.1%	37.7%	12.0%
Imphal East	V	10	16,709	444	37.6	57.2%	34.3%	40.1%	21.7%
Senapati	H	3	7,689	225	34.2	54.7%	36.7%	23.6%	33.1%
Imphal West	V	13	17,187	542	31.7	55.8%	32.6%	48.4%	15.1%
Thoubal	V	6	6,957	262	26.6	63.5%	40.3%	46.1%	8.9%
Bishnupur	V	6	6,279	239	26.3	59.4%	35.4%	36.2%	21.6%
Kakching	V	4	3,974	153	26.0	64.1%	48.6%	40.1%	7.8%
STATE	–	60	158,677	3,014	52.6	56.0%	45.7%	27.1%	22.6%

Grp: V = Imphal valley floor, H = hill district, J = Jiribam.

NAMES REMOVED FROM EACH BOOTH, BY DISTRICT




Figure 11. The number in brackets is how many seats that district has. Crimson bars are hill districts, teal the valley floor, brass Jiribam. The dashed line is the state average.

Which Seats Were Worst Hit

The same sixty seats put in order two different ways. On the left, simply how many names were removed – which favours big seats. On the right, how many were removed from each booth, which lets a big seat and a small seat be compared fairly. This second list is the more useful one. Twenty seats shown of sixty; the full list is in the table earlier in this Part.

Top twenty by removed

#	AC	Constituency	G	Removed	W
1	59	Saikot	H	14,152	52%
2	58	Churachandpur	H	9,381	53%
3	57	Henglep	H	8,130	53%
4	53	Tamenglong	H	7,000	59%
5	41	Chandel	H	6,672	54%
6	60	Singhat	H	6,601	52%
7	44	Ukhrul	H	6,382	57%
8	42	Tengnoupal	H	5,228	52%
9	54	Nungba	H	4,759	63%
10	56	Thanlon	H	4,696	52%
11	43	Phungyar	H	4,502	58%
12	51	Saitu	H	4,480	53%
13	52	Tamei	H	3,973	58%
14	15	Wangkhei	V	3,756	55%
15	45	Chingai	H	3,668	62%
16	50	Kangpokpi	H	3,467	52%
17	49	Tadubi	H	3,146	52%
18	47	Karong	H	2,840	57%
19	46	Saikul	H	2,478	56%
20	40	Jiribam	J	2,277	55%

Top twenty by per booth

#	AC	Constituency	G	Per booth	W
1	59	Saikot	H	168.5	52%
2	57	Henglep	H	135.5	53%
3	60	Singhat	H	117.9	52%
4	56	Thanlon	H	114.5	52%
5	58	Churachandpur	H	105.4	53%
6	53	Tamenglong	H	104.5	59%
7	54	Nungba	H	91.5	63%
8	44	Ukhrul	H	87.4	57%
9	50	Kangpokpi	H	84.6	52%
10	41	Chandel	H	83.4	54%
11	15	Wangkhei	V	75.1	55%
12	42	Tengnoupal	H	69.7	52%
13	51	Saitu	H	61.4	53%
14	43	Phungyar	H	58.5	58%
15	55	Tipaimukh	H	55.0	52%
16	40	Jiribam	J	54.2	55%
17	45	Chingai	H	53.9	62%
18	52	Tamei	H	50.9	58%
19	10	Uripok	V	48.8	55%
20	3	Khurai	V	46.7	56%

TOTAL REMOVED AGAINST REMOVED PER BOOTH, ALL SIXTY SEATS




Figure 12. Each dot is one seat; crimson dots are hill seats. The two ways of counting broadly agree, which matters: the hill seats are not just bigger, they really did lose more voters from each booth. In the tables, G means group (V valley, H hill, J Jiribam) and W is women out of every 100 removed in that seat.

Women & the Deletions

Of every 100 names removed in Manipur, 56 were women. That is 88,799 women out of 158,677 names in all. More women than men were removed in every one of the sixty seats. This is the opposite of what the same exercise threw up in city districts elsewhere, where men were clearly the larger group. The figure runs from 51 in 100 in Patsoi up to 69 in 100 in Wabgai. One caution: we do not have the voter list split by men and women, so we cannot say whether a woman was more likely to be removed than a man. We can only say that more women were removed.

56 in 100

were women

88,799

women removed

60 of 60

seats where women led

51–69

range across seats

OUT OF EVERY 100 NAMES REMOVED IN EACH SEAT, HOW MANY WERE WOMEN




Figure 13. The solid line at 50 is the half-way mark: every bar crosses it, so women were the larger group in every seat. The dashed line is the state figure. Note the scale starts at 40, not 0, so the bars are not drawn in proportion to their full length. Crimson bars are hill seats, teal the valley, brass Jiribam.

Women, Marriage & Movement

The difference between the women and the men on these lists is not how many, but why. Out of every 100 women removed, 52 were removed for having shifted away; for men the figure is 37. Put the other way round, of everyone in Manipur removed for shifting away, 64 in 100 were women. Death runs the opposite way – 35 in 100 of the men removed against 21 in 100 of the women. That simply follows from men dying earlier and from the men on these lists being older. It says nothing about the revision itself. Now the telling figure: of the 88,799 women removed, 46,786 – that is 53 in every 100 – are entered on the roll by a husband's name, not a father's. In this part of India a woman usually moves to her husband's home after marriage, and her name has to be taken off one list and put on another. That is the moment at which a name most easily ends up on neither.

THE REASONS GIVEN FOR WOMEN AND FOR MEN




Figure 14. The four crimson bars add up to 100, and so do the four teal ones. So this shows the mix of reasons for each, not how many people.

WHOSE NAME THE VOTER IS LISTED UNDER





Figure 15, left. The voter roll lists each voter under a relative's name. Almost every man is listed under a father. Half the women are listed under a husband. Figure 16, right. The ages of the women and the men removed.

Taken together these three charts describe one thing happening, not three separate findings. The women on these lists are younger than the men, are mostly listed under a husband's name, and were removed for having moved. The men are older and were more often removed because they had died. Nothing here shows officers treating women differently. What it shows is that the one event which most often moves a woman's name from one roll to another – her marriage – is also the event most likely to leave her on neither.

Seats Led by Each Reason (1/2)

Seats put in order by how large a share of their own removals fell under each reason. Twenty seats shown of sixty.

Read these carefully: a big share is not the same as a big number. A small seat can come top of a list on just a few hundred names. That is why the actual count, and the seat's total, are given in the two columns on the right.

Seats where 'Permanently Shifted' is the largest share

#	Seat	Constituency	G	Share	Count	Seat total
1	54	Nungba	H	70.6%	3,359	4,759
2	53	Tamenglong	H	69.5%	4,867	7,000
3	56	Thanlon	H	67.9%	3,190	4,696
4	44	Ukhrul	H	67.1%	4,283	6,382
5	52	Tamei	H	63.7%	2,531	3,973
6	57	Henglep	H	60.2%	4,896	8,130
7	39	Sugnoo	V	56.2%	477	848
8	41	Chandel	H	55.3%	3,690	6,672
9	60	Singhat	H	55.3%	3,650	6,601
10	43	Phungyar	H	52.7%	2,372	4,502
11	55	Tipaimukh	H	52.0%	1,058	2,036
12	46	Saikul	H	51.6%	1,278	2,478
13	34	Wangjing Tentha	V	51.5%	785	1,525
14	36	Wabgai	V	50.7%	739	1,458
15	9	Thangmeiband	V	50.3%	863	1,717
16	40	Jiribam	J	48.1%	1,096	2,277
17	26	Bishenpur	V	47.9%	492	1,027
18	20	Langthabal	V	47.9%	454	948
19	25	Oinam	V	47.1%	477	1,013
20	51	Saitu	H	45.3%	2,029	4,480

Seats where 'Death' is the largest share

#	Seat	Constituency	G	Share	Count	Seat total
1	17	Lamsang	V	62.9%	905	1,439
2	18	Konhoujam	V	62.4%	671	1,075
3	19	Patsoi	V	62.1%	1,060	1,708
4	5	Thongju	V	61.8%	529	856
5	22	Wangoi	V	60.9%	729	1,197
6	13	Singjamei	V	60.7%	407	671
7	24	Nambol	V	59.0%	669	1,133
8	33	Heirok	V	55.7%	528	948
9	3	Khurai	V	55.3%	1,186	2,146
10	21	Naoria Pakhanglak	V	52.8%	595	1,126
11	23	Mayang Imphal	V	51.2%	886	1,730
12	7	Andro	V	50.6%	567	1,120
13	4	Khetrigao	V	49.8%	713	1,433
14	30	Lilong	V	49.1%	572	1,164
15	27	Moirang	V	49.0%	462	942
16	38	Hiyanglam	V	47.4%	328	692
17	12	Keisamthong	V	47.1%	448	952
18	20	Langthabal	V	46.0%	436	948
19	31	Thoubal	V	45.8%	463	1,012
20	35	Khangabok	V	45.6%	538	1,181

Seats Led by Each Reason (2/2)

Seats put in order by how large a share of their own removals fell under each reason. Twenty seats shown of sixty.

Read these carefully: a big share is not the same as a big number. A small seat can come top of a list on just a few hundred names. That is why the actual count, and the seat's total, are given in the two columns on the right.

Seats where 'Untraceable/Absent' is the largest share

#	Seat	Constituency	G	Share	Count	Seat total
1	28	Thanga	V	62.1%	675	1,087
2	10	Uripok	V	48.6%	831	1,709
3	15	Wangkhei	V	47.7%	1,793	3,756
4	42	Tengnoupal	H	44.6%	2,331	5,228
5	59	Saikot	H	39.6%	5,611	14,152
6	50	Kangpokpi	H	39.1%	1,356	3,467
7	47	Karong	H	38.5%	1,094	2,840
8	48	Mao	H	31.6%	538	1,703
9	58	Churachandpur	H	31.5%	2,958	9,381
10	45	Chingai	H	29.1%	1,069	3,668
11	49	Tadubi	H	29.1%	916	3,146
12	8	Lamlai	V	25.6%	345	1,346
13	26	Bishenpur	V	25.0%	257	1,027
14	51	Saitu	H	25.0%	1,120	4,480
15	60	Singhat	H	25.0%	1,649	6,601
16	55	Tipaimukh	H	23.9%	486	2,036
17	2	Heingang	V	21.8%	435	1,998
18	41	Chandel	H	21.2%	1,416	6,672
19	16	Sekmai	V	19.6%	272	1,390
20	52	Tamei	H	19.3%	766	3,973

Seats where 'Already enrolled' is the largest share


#	Seat	Constituency	G	Share	Count	Seat total
1	27	Moirang	V	21.1%	199	942
2	33	Heirok	V	12.7%	120	948
3	48	Mao	H	11.2%	190	1,703
4	10	Uripok	V	10.1%	172	1,709
5	44	Ukhrul	H	7.5%	476	6,382
6	58	Churachandpur	H	7.3%	689	9,381
7	7	Andro	V	7.1%	80	1,120
8	23	Mayang Imphal	V	6.4%	110	1,730
9	49	Tadubi	H	6.2%	196	3,146
10	6	Keirao	V	6.2%	92	1,485
11	45	Chingai	H	6.2%	226	3,668
12	28	Thanga	V	6.1%	66	1,087
13	39	Sugnoo	V	5.9%	50	848
14	54	Nungba	H	5.6%	268	4,759
15	43	Phungyar	H	5.6%	253	4,502
16	22	Wangoi	V	5.6%	67	1,197
17	4	Khetrigao	V	5.5%	79	1,433
18	51	Saitu	H	5.5%	245	4,480
19	46	Saikul	H	5.4%	133	2,478
20	42	Tengnoupal	H	5.1%	268	5,228

A Few Booths Carry Most of the Loss

The 158,677 names were not spread evenly over the 3,014 booths. Some booths lost a handful of voters. Others lost hundreds. If you line up all the booths from worst to least, the middle one lost 32 voters, while the very worst lost 426. The worst affected tenth of booths accounts for 36 out of every 100 names removed in the whole state, and the worst quarter for 62. This matters for a practical reason. Nobody can check a state figure. But a booth is a real place with a real list, and somebody can walk it.

32 middle booth lost	426 worst booth lost	36 in 100 from the worst tenth	97 booths lost 200+
--------------------------------	--------------------------------	--	-------------------------------

HOW MUCH OF THE LOSS SITS IN HOW FEW BOOTHS



A WARNING: THE BOOTHS WERE GIVEN NEW NUMBERS

Every record carries two booth numbers: the booth the name stands in on the new 2026 list, and the booth it was in before. In 29 of the sixty seats these two are not the same, because the booths were given new numbers in between. So anyone who assumes that booth 59 today is the same place as booth 59 before the revision will be wrong in half the state. This is an easy mistake to make and it will give the wrong answer. For that reason the tables on the next page are given both ways – by the new number, which is where the name stands now, and by the old number, which is the place the voter was actually removed from.

WHICH SEATS WERE RENUMBERED




Figure 19. A full grey bar means that seat kept all its old booth numbers — there are 31 such seats. A short crimson bar means most booths in that seat were renumbered; there are 29 such seats. Across Manipur 62 in every 100 records kept their old number.

The Hardest-Hit Booths

The twenty-two booths that lost the most voters. The upper table uses the new 2026 booth numbers; the lower table uses the old numbers, so it names the place the voters were actually removed from. 'Top reason' is the single reason given most often at that booth, with the share alongside. These are plain counts. We do not have the voter list for any booth, so we cannot say what share of that booth's voters this was.

By the new 2026 booth number

AC	Constituency	Pt	Polling station	Del.	Top reason	%	Was	W
56	Thanlon	9	Leijangphai	426	Shifted	96%	9	52%
44	Ukhrul	34	Hamleikhong Hundung	412	Shifted	84%	33	48%
59	Saikot	58	Tuibong (S.W.-II)	396	Untraceable	84%	52	54%
59	Saikot	79	Khopuibung	386	Untraceable	75%	73	52%
15	Wangkhei	12	Kabo Leikai Nongpok (	369	Untraceable	81%	12	55%
47	Karong	57	Sadim	369	Untraceable	86%	57	54%
44	Ukhrul	59	Mapum	366	Untraceable	55%	56	62%
52	Tamei	92	Kaimai	366	Untraceable	92%	92	55%
59	Saikot	59	Tuibong (S.W.-III)	362	Untraceable	67%	53	54%
45	Chingai	55	Somdal (B)	353	Untraceable	47%	54	61%
59	Saikot	73	Phailen(B)	349	Untraceable	72%	67	54%
59	Saikot	69	Tuinom	347	Shifted	62%	63	49%
44	Ukhrul	46	Ukhrul (E-2)	335	Shifted	100%	45	50%
52	Tamei	57	Azuram	324	Shifted	100%	57	59%
59	Saikot	24	D. Vaison	314	Shifted	61%	22	49%
59	Saikot	55	Tuibuang (N.W)	310	Untraceable	92%	50	55%
59	Saikot	70	Phailen	304	Untraceable	59%	64	52%
44	Ukhrul	8	New Canaan	297	Shifted	42%	8	61%
57	Henglep	40	Nabil	294	Shifted	86%	40	57%
58	Churachandpur	86	Zenhang Lamka (K)	294	Untraceable	91%	78	54%
59	Saikot	82	Lhahvom	293	Shifted	67%	76	46%
59	Saikot	19	S. Haijang	284	Untraceable	67%	17	52%

By the old booth number — the place they were removed from

AC	Constituency	Pt	Now standing in	Del.	Top reason	%	Now	W
59	Saikot	49	B Aijalon (A)	446	Untraceable	78%	53,54	55%
56	Thanlon	9	Leijangphai	426	Shifted	96%	9	52%
44	Ukhrul	33	Hamleikhong Hundung	412	Shifted	84%	34	48%
59	Saikot	52	Tuibong (S.W.-II)	396	Untraceable	84%	58	54%
59	Saikot	73	Khopuibung	386	Untraceable	75%	79	52%
58	Churachandpur	78	Zenhang Lamka (K)	373	Untraceable	90%	86,87	54%
15	Wangkhei	12	Kabo Leikai Nongpok (	369	Untraceable	81%	12	55%
47	Karong	57	Sadim	369	Untraceable	86%	57	54%
44	Ukhrul	56	Mapum	366	Untraceable	55%	59	62%
52	Tamei	92	Kaimai	366	Untraceable	92%	92	55%
59	Saikot	53	Tuibong (S.W.-III)	362	Untraceable	67%	59	54%
45	Chingai	54	Somdal (B)	353	Untraceable	47%	55	61%
59	Saikot	67	Phailen(B)	349	Untraceable	72%	73	54%
59	Saikot	63	Tuinom	347	Shifted	62%	69	49%
53	Tamenglong	21	Tamenglong HQ Ward No	346	Shifted	69%	23,24	53%
44	Ukhrul	45	Ukhrul (E-2)	335	Shifted	100%	46	50%
59	Saikot	51	Tuibuong HQ-1	335	Untraceable	99%	56,57	55%
47	Karong	59	Puni Pfosemei	329	Untraceable	84%	59,60	51%
52	Tamei	57	Azuram	324	Shifted	100%	57	59%
53	Tamenglong	20	Tamenglong HQ Ward No	323	Untraceable	51%	21,22	57%
42	Tengnoupal	70	Moreh Ward No. IX (A)	314	Untraceable	87%	70,71	56%
59	Saikot	22	D. Vaison	314	Shifted	61%	24	49%


Pt: part number under that numbering. 'Was' / 'Now': the corresponding part number under the other numbering, + where more than two. W: women out of every 100 names removed at that booth.

Name Structure

This page counts the last word of people's names. It does not put anybody into a community, and nobody should read it that way. Manipur's rolls carry Meitei, Naga, Kuki-Zo, Pangal, Nepali and Bengali naming customs side by side. Several of them do not use a surname at all in the way this count assumes: the last word of a name may be a clan name, a village name, a father's name or a title. So these tables cannot tell you anyone's community, and we draw no such conclusion. What they can tell you is something about the shape of the rolls themselves, set out below.

Names work very differently in the two halves of the state. In the valley seats, just ten words account for the end of 38 out of every 100 names on the removed list, and there are 9,771 different such words in all across 51,104 records. In the hill seats the ten commonest account for only 9 in 100, and there are 42,871 different words across 105,290 records – more than four times as many different names, from two thirds as many people. In short, valley names repeat a handful of titles, while hill names carry a very large number of clan and family names, none of them common. This has a practical consequence. Any computer method that tries to read a voter roll by matching names will behave quite differently in the two halves, and will be much less reliable in the hills.

THE FIFTEEN COMMONEST LAST WORDS IN NAMES, WHOLE STATE



THE TEN COMMONEST IN THE VALLEY, AND IN THE HILLS removed voters whose name ends in that word

#	Imphal Valley	Voters	Share	#	Hill districts	Voters	Share
1	Devi	9,525	18.64%	1	Haokip	3,329	3.16%
2	Singh	5,917	11.58%	2	Kipgen	1,135	1.08%
3	Chanu	716	1.40%	3	Kamei	1,066	1.01%
4	Meitei	602	1.18%	4	Khongsai	748	0.71%
5	Bibi	601	1.18%	5	Gangmei	640	0.61%
6	Leima	480	0.94%	6	Gonmei	626	0.59%
7	Haokip	385	0.75%	7	Vaiphei	606	0.58%
8	Sharma	327	0.64%	8	Touthang	563	0.53%
9	Begum	327	0.64%	9	Baite	562	0.53%
10	Khan	309	0.60%	10	Panmei	541	0.51%
	9,771 distinct	51,104	37.5%		42,871 distinct	105,290	9.3%

State-wide: 50,647 distinct final tokens across 158,671 records; the ten commonest cover 16.8 per cent. The final row of each table gives that group's totals.

Key Insights

About 1.59 lakh names were taken off Manipur's voter list. They were not taken off evenly. The hills, the individual booth, and the woman who married into another village all show up plainly in the figures.

▲ Names removed in all	158,677 – about 1.59 lakh – across all 60 seats and 3,014 booths
▲ How complete this is	every booth except 27 in 7 seats, whose records would not open; the seat files and the combined state file agree exactly
▲ Men and women	56 in 100 were women, 44 men – women were the larger group in all 60 seats, which is unusual
▲ Had shifted away	46 in 100 – the commonest reason given
▲ Had died	27 in 100 – the only reason that can be checked against a separate record
▲ Could not be found	23 in 100
▲ Already on another list	5 in 100
▲ Hills against valley	the 20 hill seats hold 66 in every 100 names removed, losing 79 voters per booth against 31 in the valley
▲ One district	Churachandpur's four seats alone hold 38,264 names – 24 in every 100 removed in the whole state
▲ Reason follows place	death is 43 in 100 of valley removals but only 19 in 100 in the hills
▲ Seat by seat	the more voters a seat lost from each booth, the larger the share put down to shifting away – the two go together closely
▲ A few booths, much of the loss	the worst affected tenth of booths carries 36 in every 100 names removed
▲ Women and moving house	64 in 100 of those removed for shifting away were women, and 53 in 100 of the women removed are listed by a husband's name


We do not have Manipur's voter list – not by seat, not by sex, not by community. So none of these figures is a rate of removal. Every figure counts names removed, or names removed per booth.

Part II gives one page to each of the sixty seats.

PART II

Assembly Chapters

Sixty chapters, one per constituency: coverage and rank, how the seat compares with its group and the state, gender and reason splits, the hardest-hit booths, reason by age group and the commonest name tokens.



AC 1 · Khundrakpam

General · Imphal Valley

1,486

ASD DELETIONS

#31 of 60

42

POLLING PARTS

1-42, complete

35

PER PART

#31 of 60


53.2%

WOMEN


#48 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	35.4	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	53.2	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-42, complete (42 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 2 · Heingang

General · Imphal Valley

1,998

ASD DELETIONS

#23 of 60

49

POLLING PARTS

1-49, complete

41

PER PART

#24 of 60


52.6%

WOMEN


#51 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	40.8	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	52.6	58.4	56.0
Median age	49	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-49, complete (49 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 3 · Khurai

General · Imphal Valley

2,146

ASD DELETIONS

#21 of 60

46

POLLING PARTS

1-46, complete

47

PER PART

#20 of 60


55.6%

WOMEN

#38 of 60


	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	46.7	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	55.6	58.4	56.0
Median age	50	48	46

Gender




- Female (1,193)
- Male (952)
- Other (1)

Recorded Reason




- Permanently Shifted (721)
- Death (1,186)
- Untraceable/Absent (130)
- Already enrolled (109)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-46, complete (46 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 4 · Khetrigao

General · Imphal Valley

1,433

ASD DELETIONS

#35 of 60

48

POLLING PARTS

1-48, complete

30

PER PART

#38 of 60


61.5%

WOMEN


#13 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	29.9	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	61.5	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-48, complete (48 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 5 · Thongju

General · Imphal Valley

856

ASD DELETIONS

#57 of 60

45

POLLING PARTS

1-45, complete

19

PER PART

#60 of 60


55.0%

WOMEN


#40 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	19.0	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	55.0	58.4	56.0
Median age	55	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-45, complete (45 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 6 · Keirao

General · Imphal Valley

1,485

ASD DELETIONS

#32 of 60

40

POLLING PARTS

1-40, complete

37

PER PART

#30 of 60


64.2%

WOMEN


#7 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	37.1	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	64.2	58.4	56.0
Median age	45	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-40, complete (40 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 7 · Andro

General · Imphal Valley

1,120

ASD DELETIONS

#44 of 60

46

POLLING PARTS

1-46, complete

24

PER PART

#49 of 60


60.4%

WOMEN


#17 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	24.3	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	60.4	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-46, complete (46 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 8 · Lamlai

General · Imphal Valley

1,346

ASD DELETIONS

#37 of 60

40

POLLING PARTS

1-40, complete

34

PER PART

#34 of 60


59.8%

WOMEN


#20 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	33.6	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	59.8	58.4	56.0
Median age	42	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-40, complete (40 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 9 · Thangmeiband

General · Imphal Valley

1,717

ASD DELETIONS

#25 of 60

42

POLLING PARTS

1-42, complete

41

PER PART

#23 of 60


55.1%

WOMEN


#39 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	40.9	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	55.1	58.4	56.0
Median age	46	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-42, complete (42 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 10 · Uripok

General · Imphal Valley

1,709

ASD DELETIONS

#26 of 60

35

POLLING PARTS

1–35, complete

49

PER PART

#19 of 60


54.7%

WOMEN

#42 of 60


	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	48.8	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	54.7	58.4	56.0
Median age	49	48	46

Gender




- Female (935)
- Male (773)
- Other (1)

Recorded Reason




- Permanently Shifted (118)
- Death (588)
- Untraceable/Absent (831)
- Already enrolled (172)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–35, complete (35 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 11 · Sagolband

General · Imphal Valley

1,525

ASD DELETIONS

#29 of 60

36

POLLING PARTS

1–36, complete

42

PER PART

#22 of 60


51.6%

WOMEN


#57 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	42.4	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	51.6	58.4	56.0
Median age	49	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–36, complete (36 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 12 · Keisamthong

General · Imphal Valley

952

ASD DELETIONS

#53 of 60

40

POLLING PARTS

1-40, complete

24

PER PART

#54 of 60


61.0%

WOMEN


#14 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	23.8	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	61.0	58.4	56.0
Median age	50	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-40, complete (40 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 13 · Singjamei

General · Imphal Valley

671

ASD DELETIONS

#60 of 60

29

POLLING PARTS

1–29, complete

23

PER PART






#56 of 60

56.2%

WOMEN

#34 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	23.1	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	56.2	58.4	56.0
Median age	55	48	46



Parts 1–29, complete (29 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 14 · Yaiskul

General · Imphal Valley

1,083

ASD DELETIONS

#46 of 60

38

POLLING PARTS

1–38, complete

28

PER PART

#40 of 60


63.4%

WOMEN


#9 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	28.5	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	63.4	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–38, complete (38 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 15 · Wangkhei

General · Imphal Valley

3,756

ASD DELETIONS

#14 of 60

50

POLLING PARTS

1-50, complete

75

PER PART

#11 of 60


54.8%

WOMEN


#41 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	75.1	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	54.8	58.4	56.0
Median age	45	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-50, complete (50 parts). Imphal East district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 16 · Sekmai

SC-reserved · Imphal Valley

1,390

ASD DELETIONS

#36 of 60

46

POLLING PARTS

1-46, complete

30

PER PART

#35 of 60


56.1%

WOMEN


#35 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	30.2	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	56.1	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-46, complete (46 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, sc-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 17 · Lamsang

General · Imphal Valley

1,439

ASD DELETIONS

#34 of 60

50

POLLING PARTS

1–50, complete

29

PER PART

#39 of 60


55.7%

WOMEN


#37 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	28.8	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	55.7	58.4	56.0
Median age	51	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–50, complete (50 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 18 · Konthoujam

General · Imphal Valley

1,075

ASD DELETIONS

#48 of 60

41

POLLING PARTS

1-41, complete

26

PER PART






#42 of 60

56.7%

WOMEN

#31 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	26.2	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	56.7	58.4	56.0
Median age	54	48	46



Parts 1-41, complete (41 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 19 · Patsoi

General · Imphal Valley

1,708

ASD DELETIONS

#27 of 60

50

POLLING PARTS

1-50, complete

34

PER PART

#33 of 60


51.1%

WOMEN


#60 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	34.2	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	51.1	58.4	56.0
Median age	56	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-50, complete (50 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 20 • Langthabal

General • Imphal Valley

948

ASD DELETIONS

#54 of 60

40

POLLING PARTS

1-40, complete

24

PER PART






#55 of 60

60.3%

WOMEN

#18 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	23.7	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	60.3	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46



Parts 1-40, complete (40 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 21 · Naoria Pakhanglakpa

General · Imphal Valley

1,126

ASD DELETIONS

#43 of 60

47

POLLING PARTS

1-47, complete

24

PER PART






#53 of 60

58.3%

WOMEN

#25 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	24.0	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	58.3	58.4	56.0
Median age	53	48	46



Parts 1-47, complete (47 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 22 · Wangoi

General · Imphal Valley

1,197

ASD DELETIONS

#38 of 60

40

POLLING PARTS

1-40, complete

30

PER PART

#36 of 60


53.7%

WOMEN


#46 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	29.9	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	53.7	58.4	56.0
Median age	53	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-40, complete (40 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 23 · Mayang Imphal

General · Imphal Valley

1,730

ASD DELETIONS

#24 of 60

46

POLLING PARTS

1-46, complete

38

PER PART






#27 of 60

59.7%

WOMEN

#21 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	37.6	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	59.7	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46



Parts 1-46, complete (46 parts). Imphal West district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 24 · Nambol

General · Imphal Valley

1,133

ASD DELETIONS

#41 of 60

45

POLLING PARTS

1-45, complete

25

PER PART






#44 of 60

54.5%

WOMEN

#44 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	25.2	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	54.5	58.4	56.0
Median age	54	48	46



Parts 1-45, complete (45 parts). Bishnupur district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 25 • Oinam

General • Imphal Valley

1,013

ASD DELETIONS

#50 of 60

39

POLLING PARTS

1–39, complete

26

PER PART

#43 of 60


62.7%

WOMEN

#11 of 60


	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	26.0	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	62.7	58.4	56.0
Median age	44	48	46

Gender




Female (635)
Male (378)

Recorded Reason




Permanently Shifted (477)
Death (423)
Untraceable/Absent (87)
Already enrolled (26)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–39, complete (39 parts). Bishnupur district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 26 • Bishenpur

General • Imphal Valley

1,027

ASD DELETIONS

#49 of 60

42

POLLING PARTS

1-42, complete

24

PER PART

#47 of 60


68.0%

WOMEN


#2 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	24.5	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	68.0	58.4	56.0
Median age	40	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-42, complete (42 parts). Bishnupur district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 27 • Moirang

General • Imphal Valley

942

ASD DELETIONS

#56 of 60

48

POLLING PARTS

1–48, complete

20

PER PART

#58 of 60


58.0%

WOMEN


#27 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	19.6	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	58.0	58.4	56.0
Median age	49	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–48, complete (48 parts). Bishnupur district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 28 • Thanga

General • Imphal Valley

1,087

ASD DELETIONS

#45 of 60

29

POLLING PARTS

1-29, complete

37

PER PART

#28 of 60


57.0%

WOMEN


#30 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	37.5	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	57.0	58.4	56.0
Median age	53	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-29, complete (29 parts). Bishnupur district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 29 • Kumbi

General • Imphal Valley

1,077

ASD DELETIONS

#47 of 60

36

POLLING PARTS

1–36, complete

30

PER PART

#37 of 60


57.2%

WOMEN


#28 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	29.9	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	57.2	58.4	56.0
Median age	45	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–36, complete (36 parts). Bishnupur district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 30 • Lilong

General • Imphal Valley

1,164

ASD DELETIONS

#40 of 60

48

POLLING PARTS

1-48, complete

24

PER PART

#50 of 60


65.6%

WOMEN


#4 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	24.2	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	65.6	58.4	56.0
Median age	42	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-48, complete (48 parts). Thoubal district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 31 • Thoubal

General • Imphal Valley

1,012

ASD DELETIONS

#51 of 60

41

POLLING PARTS

1-41, complete

25

PER PART






#46 of 60

64.2%

WOMEN

#6 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	24.7	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	64.2	58.4	56.0
Median age	49	48	46



Parts 1-41, complete (41 parts). Thoubal district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 32 • Wangkhem

General • Imphal Valley

1,127

ASD DELETIONS

#42 of 60

41

POLLING PARTS

1-41, complete

27

PER PART

#41 of 60


60.9%

WOMEN


#15 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	27.5	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	60.9	58.4	56.0
Median age	43	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-41, complete (41 parts). Thoubal district, Inner Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 33 · Heirol

General · Imphal Valley

948

ASD DELETIONS

#55 of 60

45

POLLING PARTS

1-45, complete

21

PER PART

#57 of 60


58.3%

WOMEN


#24 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	21.1	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	58.3	58.4	56.0
Median age	48	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-45, complete (45 parts). Thoubal district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 34 · Wangjing Tentha

General · Imphal Valley

1,525

ASD DELETIONS

#30 of 60

40

POLLING PARTS

1-40, complete

38

PER PART






#26 of 60

64.3%

WOMEN

#5 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	38.1	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	64.3	58.4	56.0
Median age	43	48	46



Parts 1-40, complete (40 parts). Thoubal district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 35 • Khangabok

General • Imphal Valley

1,181

ASD DELETIONS

#39 of 60

47

POLLING PARTS

1-47, complete

25

PER PART






#45 of 60

66.7%

WOMEN

#3 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	25.1	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	66.7	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46



Parts 1-47, complete (47 parts). Thoubal district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 36 · Wabgai

General · Imphal Valley

1,458

ASD DELETIONS

#33 of 60

42

POLLING PARTS

1-42, complete

35

PER PART

#32 of 60


68.8%

WOMEN

#1 of 60


	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	34.7	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	68.8	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46

Gender




Female (1,003)
Male (455)

Recorded Reason




Permanently Shifted (739)
Death (628)
Untraceable/Absent (64)
Already enrolled (27)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-42, complete (42 parts). Kakching district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 37 · Kakching

General · Imphal Valley

976

ASD DELETIONS

#52 of 60

40

POLLING PARTS

1-40, complete

24

PER PART

#48 of 60


59.8%

WOMEN


#19 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	24.4	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	59.8	58.4	56.0
Median age	48	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-40, complete (40 parts). Kakching district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 38 · Hiyanglam

General · Imphal Valley

692

ASD DELETIONS

#59 of 60

36

POLLING PARTS

1–36, complete

19

PER PART

#59 of 60


60.7%

WOMEN

#16 of 60


	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	19.2	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	60.7	58.4	56.0
Median age	47	48	46

Gender




Female (420)
Male (272)

Recorded Reason




Permanently Shifted (284)
Death (328)
Untraceable/Absent (66)
Already enrolled (14)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–36, complete (36 parts). Kakching district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 39 · Sugnoo

General · Imphal Valley

848

ASD DELETIONS

#58 of 60

35

POLLING PARTS

1–35, complete

24

PER PART

#51 of 60


63.6%

WOMEN


#8 of 60

	This AC	Imphal Valley	Manipur
Deletions per part	24.2	31.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	63.6	58.4	56.0
Median age	42	48	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–35, complete (35 parts). Kakching district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 40 • Jiribam

General • Jiribam

2,277

ASD DELETIONS

#20 of 60

42

POLLING PARTS

1-42, complete

54

PER PART

#16 of 60


54.6%

WOMEN


#43 of 60

	This AC	Jiribam	Manipur
Deletions per part	54.2	54.2	52.6
Women, % of deletions	54.6	54.6	56.0
Median age	44	44	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-42, complete (42 parts). Jiribam district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 41 · Chandel

ST-reserved · Hill districts

6,672

ASD DELETIONS

#5 of 60

80

POLLING PARTS

1-80, complete

83

PER PART

#10 of 60


54.2%

WOMEN

#45 of 60


	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	83.4	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	54.2	54.8	56.0
Median age	47	45	46

Gender




- Female (3,618)
- Male (3,053)
- Other (1)

Recorded Reason




- Permanently Shifted (3,690)
- Death (1,235)
- Untraceable/Absent (1,416)
- Already enrolled (331)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-80, complete (80 parts). Chandel district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 42 • Tengnoupal

ST-reserved • Hill districts

5,228

ASD DELETIONS

#8 of 60

75

POLLING PARTS

1-75, complete

70

PER PART

#12 of 60


52.3%

WOMEN


#53 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	69.7	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	52.3	54.8	56.0
Median age	47	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-75, complete (75 parts). Tengnoupal district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 43 · Phungyar

ST-reserved · Hill districts

4,502

ASD DELETIONS

#11 of 60

77

POLLING PARTS

1-78, 1 missing

58

PER PART

#14 of 60


58.2%

WOMEN


#26 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	58.5	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	58.2	54.8	56.0
Median age	45	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-78; 1 parts (75) returned HTTP 404 and are missing, so this count is a lower bound. Kamjong district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 44 · Ukhrul

ST-reserved · Hill districts

6,382

ASD DELETIONS

#7 of 60

73

POLLING PARTS

1-74, 1 missing

87

PER PART

#8 of 60


56.6%

WOMEN


#33 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	87.4	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	56.6	54.8	56.0
Median age	41	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-74; 1 parts (60) returned HTTP 404 and are missing, so this count is a lower bound. Ukhrul district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 45 · Chingai

ST-reserved · Hill districts

3,668

ASD DELETIONS

#15 of 60

68

POLLING PARTS

1-72, 4 missing

54

PER PART

#17 of 60


61.6%

WOMEN


#12 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	53.9	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	61.6	54.8	56.0
Median age	46	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-72; 4 parts (9, 17-18, 25) returned HTTP 404 and are missing, so this count is a lower bound. Ukhrul district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 46 · Saikul

ST-reserved · Hill districts

2,478

ASD DELETIONS

#19 of 60

56

POLLING PARTS

1-56, complete

44

PER PART

#21 of 60


55.8%

WOMEN


#36 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	44.2	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	55.8	54.8	56.0
Median age	43	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-56, complete (56 parts). Kangpokpi district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 47 • Karong

ST-reserved • Hill districts

2,840

ASD DELETIONS

#18 of 60

76

POLLING PARTS

1-77, 1 missing

37

PER PART

#29 of 60


56.7%

WOMEN


#32 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	37.4	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	56.7	54.8	56.0
Median age	49	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-77; 1 parts (48) returned HTTP 404 and are missing, so this count is a lower bound. Senapati district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 48 • Mao

ST-reserved • Hill districts

1,703

ASD DELETIONS

#28 of 60

71

POLLING PARTS

1-71, complete

24

PER PART

#52 of 60


57.1%

WOMEN


#29 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	24.0	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	57.1	54.8	56.0
Median age	51	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-71, complete (71 parts). Senapati district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 49 • Tadubi

ST-reserved • Hill districts

3,146

ASD DELETIONS

#17 of 60

78

POLLING PARTS

1-82, 4 missing

40

PER PART

#25 of 60


51.6%

WOMEN


#58 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	40.3	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	51.6	54.8	56.0
Median age	50	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-82; 4 parts (2-3, 16, 53) returned HTTP 404 and are missing, so this count is a lower bound. Senapati district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 50 • Kangpokpi

General • Hill districts

3,467

ASD DELETIONS

#16 of 60

41

POLLING PARTS

1–42, 1 missing

85

PER PART

#9 of 60


51.9%

WOMEN


#55 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	84.6	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	51.9	54.8	56.0
Median age	44	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1–42; 1 parts (6) returned HTTP 404 and are missing, so this count is a lower bound. Kangpokpi district, Outer Manipur, general. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 51 · Saitu

ST-reserved · Hill districts

4,480

ASD DELETIONS

#12 of 60

73

POLLING PARTS

1-73, complete

61

PER PART

#13 of 60


53.1%

WOMEN

#49 of 60


	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	61.4	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	53.1	54.8	56.0
Median age	44	45	46

Gender




- Female (2,380)
- Male (2,099)
- Other (1)

Recorded Reason




- Permanently Shifted (2,029)
- Death (1,086)
- Untraceable/Absent (1,120)
- Already enrolled (245)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-73, complete (73 parts). Kangpokpi district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 52 • Tamei

ST-reserved • Hill districts

3,973

ASD DELETIONS

#13 of 60

78

POLLING PARTS

1-93, 15 missing

51

PER PART

#18 of 60


58.5%

WOMEN


#23 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	50.9	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	58.5	54.8	56.0
Median age	41	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-93; 15 parts (2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14-15, 18, 22-24, 44, 51, 64, 76) returned HTTP 404 and are missing, so this count is a lower bound. Tamenglong district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 53 • Tamenglong

ST-reserved • Hill districts

7,000

ASD DELETIONS

#4 of 60

67

POLLING PARTS

1-67, complete

104

PER PART

#6 of 60


59.2%

WOMEN

#22 of 60


	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	104.5	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	59.2	54.8	56.0
Median age	40	45	46

Gender




Female (4,147)
Male (2,853)

Recorded Reason




Permanently Shifted (4,867)
Death (876)
Untraceable/Absent (1,059)
Already enrolled (198)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-67, complete (67 parts). Tamenglong district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 54 • Nungba

ST-reserved • Hill districts

4,759

ASD DELETIONS

#9 of 60

52

POLLING PARTS

1-52, complete

92

PER PART






#7 of 60

62.9%

WOMEN

#10 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	91.5	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	62.9	54.8	56.0
Median age	40	45	46



Parts 1-52, complete (52 parts). Noney district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 55 • Tipaimukh

ST-reserved • Hill districts

2,036

ASD DELETIONS

#22 of 60

37

POLLING PARTS

1-37, complete

55

PER PART

#15 of 60


51.9%

WOMEN


#56 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	55.0	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	51.9	54.8	56.0
Median age	47	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-37, complete (37 parts). Pherzawl district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 56 • Thanlon

ST-reserved • Hill districts

4,696

ASD DELETIONS

#10 of 60

41

POLLING PARTS

1-41, complete

115

PER PART

#4 of 60


51.5%

WOMEN


#59 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	114.5	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	51.5	54.8	56.0
Median age	49	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-41, complete (41 parts). Pherzawl district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 57 · Henglep

ST-reserved · Hill districts

8,130

ASD DELETIONS

#3 of 60

60

POLLING PARTS

1-60, complete

136

PER PART

#2 of 60


53.4%

WOMEN

#47 of 60


	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	135.5	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	53.4	54.8	56.0
Median age	48	45	46

Gender




- Female (4,338)
- Male (3,791)
- Other (1)

Recorded Reason




- Permanently Shifted (4,896)
- Death (1,357)
- Untraceable/Absent (1,536)
- Already enrolled (341)


Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-60, complete (60 parts). Churachandpur district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 58 • Churachandpur

ST-reserved • Hill districts

9,381

ASD DELETIONS

#2 of 60

89

POLLING PARTS

1-89, complete

105

PER PART

#5 of 60


53.0%

WOMEN


#50 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	105.4	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	53.0	54.8	56.0
Median age	44	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-89, complete (89 parts). Churachandpur district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 59 · Saikot

ST-reserved · Hill districts

14,152

ASD DELETIONS

#1 of 60

84

POLLING PARTS

1-84, complete

168

PER PART

#1 of 60


52.3%

WOMEN


#52 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	168.5	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	52.3	54.8	56.0
Median age	47	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-84, complete (84 parts). Churachandpur district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

AC 60 · Singhat

ST-reserved · Hill districts

6,601

ASD DELETIONS

#6 of 60

56

POLLING PARTS

1-56, complete

118

PER PART

#3 of 60


52.0%

WOMEN


#54 of 60

	This AC	Hill districts	Manipur
Deletions per part	117.9	79.0	52.6
Women, % of deletions	52.0	54.8	56.0
Median age	46	45	46


Gender




Recorded Reason




Hardest-hit booths



Reason by age group



Commonest name tokens



Parts 1-56, complete (56 parts). Churachandpur district, Outer Manipur, st-reserved. No electoral roll is available, so no deletion rate can be given; name tokens are counted, not classified.

Conclusion & Way Forward

The Special Intensive Revision of voter rolls taking place in India in 2025 and 2026 is the largest voter roll deletion exercise in human history. The scale is extraordinary. In the material examined by Sabar Institute, about 91 lakh electors were deleted, including about 66 lakh non death deletions only in West Bengal. The number of non death deletions alone exceeds the total population of several countries.

In Manipur the exercise removed 158,677 electors from the roll, and it did so in a state where tens of thousands of people have been displaced from their homes since May 2023. Two thirds of those removals fall in the twenty hill constituencies, which hold a third of the seats, and the grounds recorded there are overwhelmingly movement and absence rather than death. **This report cannot and does not say that any community was singled out.** What it says is that the removals are concentrated exactly where displacement was heaviest, that they rest on the categories with the weakest documentary basis, and that this is where verification is most urgently needed.

The consequences of SIR extend far beyond the right to vote. Electoral roll status can become connected with access to government services and documentation. The cases examined by Sabar Institute indicate difficulties involving passport renewal, the Public Distribution System, domicile and residence certificates, education, land transactions, vehicle registration and other administrative processes. Prolonged uncertainty over electoral status can also create financial stress, psychological distress and disruption to family life.

Delays in adjudication and appeals are another serious concern. Citizens who remain under adjudication may spend long periods without a clear resolution. A right to appeal has limited meaning if people cannot access legal assistance, understand procedures, obtain documents or afford repeated visits to administrative offices. **Accessible and effective legal aid is therefore essential,** particularly for economically vulnerable citizens, and most of all for those who cannot return to the address the roll expects to find them at.

Conclusion & Way Forward (cont.)

The burden may not fall equally. Migrant workers, women who change residence after marriage, students, elderly people, persons with disabilities, third gender electors and people without continuous documentary records can face greater difficulties in documentation heavy verification systems. The use of algorithms, automated tools and logical discrepancy filters also requires transparency, independent examination and meaningful human review.

Sustained media coverage is essential to document individual experiences, administrative problems and wider social consequences. Aggregate numbers alone cannot explain what happens to people after deletion.

Civil society engagement is equally important. Researchers, lawyers, universities, community organisations and independent institutions should assist affected citizens, document cases, analyse patterns and examine whether particular groups experience disproportionate burdens.

The implementation and consequences of SIR also require **serious discussion in Parliament** through debates, questions, committees and other mechanisms of democratic oversight.

Finally, an **independent countrywide study of SIR is urgently required.** It should examine deletions, non death deletions, restorations, appeals, adjudication delays, demographic and regional patterns, documentary requirements, the use of algorithms, and the social and economic consequences of exclusion.

Maintaining accurate electoral rolls is necessary, but accuracy must be accompanied by transparency, accountability, accessible appeals, legal aid and protection against wrongful exclusion.



Contact Us

44/1A, Mansatala Ln, Kidderpore, Kolkata, West Bengal – 700023

◆ sabarinstitute.org ◆ contact@sabarinstitute.org

◆ +91 8585 859971 ◆ @SabarInstitute_ ◆ @sabarinstitute